Public Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program

## BASIC PROGRAM INFORMATION

| BASIC PROGRAM INFORMATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Web Site | http://education.latech.edu/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approval/Accreditation | Names of Agencies |  |  |  |  |  |  | Status |
|  | State: Board of Elementary and Secondary Education (BESE) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Approved |
|  | State: Board of Regents (BoR) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Approved |
|  | Regional: Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges (SACSCOC) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Accredited |
|  | National: National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE); Teacher Education Accreditation Council (TEAC); or Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation (CAEP) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Accredited |
| Type of Program | Traditional (Undergraduate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CANDIDATE SELECTION PROFILE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academic Strength | Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Skills Assessment (2013-14) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100\% |
|  | Median GPA of Candidates Entering the Program (2013-14) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.10 |
|  | Median GPA of Candidates Completing the Program (2013-14) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.30 |
|  | Number of Candidates who Started but Did not Complete the Program Within 6 Years |  |  |  |  |  |  | Data Not Yet Available |
| Teaching Promise | Data not yet available. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Candidates/ <br> Completer <br> Diversity | Candidates(2013-14) | Enrolled |  |  | Completers |  | Total |  |
|  |  | 150 |  |  | 73 |  | 223 |  |
|  | Enrolled Gender | Males |  |  |  | Females |  |  |
|  |  | 25 |  |  |  | 125 |  |  |
|  | Enrolled Race | Hispanic | Indian | Asian | Black | Islander | White | Multi-Racial |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 139 | 0 |


| KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS FOR TEACHING OF COMPLETERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Knowledge | Content | Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Content Assessments (2013-14) |  |  |  |  | 100\% |
|  | Pedagogical | Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Professional Knowledge Assessments (2013-14) |  |  |  |  | 100\% |
|  | Overall | Completer Passage Rate on all Assessments (2013-14) |  |  |  |  | 100\% |
| Clinical Experiences |  | Student Teaching | Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences Prior to Student Teaching |  |  |  | 330 |
|  |  | Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences During Student Teaching |  | Number of Weeks | Number of Clock Hours per Week | Total Number of Clock Hours |
|  |  | 10 | 40 | 400 |
| Licensure Requirements |  |  |  | Number and Percentage of 2013-14 Completers That Meet State Licensing Requirements |  |  |  |  | 100\% |
| Completer Rating |  | Data Not Yet Available |  |  |  |  |  |
| PROGRAM PRODUCTIVITY AND ALIGNMENT TO STATE NEEDS OF COMPLETERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Entry and Persistence in Teaching in Public Schools in Louisiana |  | Percentage \& Number of 2013-14 Completers That Were Teaching in 2014-15 |  |  |  |  | 60\% ( $\mathrm{n}=44$ ) |
|  |  | Percentage \& Number of 2013-14 Completers That Obtained a License to Teach |  |  |  |  | Data Not Yet Available |
| (Please examine the 2016 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these scores.) |  | 2009-10 Completers Teaching in Public Schools in Louisiana in 2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13, 2013-14, \& 2014-15 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Number of 2009-10 Completers | Number \& Percentage Teaching in 2010-11 | Number \& Percentage Teaching in 2011-12 | Number \& Percentage Teaching in 2012-13 | Number \& Percentage Teaching in 2013-14 | Number \& Percentage Teaching in 2014-15 |
|  |  | 100\% ( $\mathrm{n}=84$ ) | 49\% ( $\mathrm{n}=41$ ) | 61\% ( $\mathrm{n}=51$ ) | $58 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=49$ ) | 58\% ( $\mathrm{n}=49$ ) | 61\% ( $\mathrm{n}=51$ ) |
| Placement/Persistence in High-Need Subjects/Schools |  | Data Not Yet available |  |  |  |  |  |

Assistance in the design of the Louisiana Teacher Preparation Dashboard was provided by the 2020 Key Effectiveness Indicators developed by Michael Allen, Edward Crowe, and Charles Coble, co-partners of Teacher Preparation Analytics.
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## PERFORMANCE AS CLASSROOM TEACHERS (NEW TEACHERS WITH LESS THAN TWO YEARS OF TEACHING)

| PERFORMANCE AS CLASSROOM TEACHERS (NEW TEACHERS WITH LESS THAN TWO YEARS OF TEACHING) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Impact on <br> K-12 Students <br> (Please examine the 2016 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these scores.) | Mean Compass Student Growth Score (2012-13, 2013-14, \& 201415) and Number of Scores for All New Teachers with Less than Two Years of Teaching | Compass Student Growth Mean \& Number of Scores |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3.4 ( $\mathrm{n}=292$ ) |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage and Number of 201213, 2013-14, \& 2014-15 Compass Student Growth Scores for the New Teachers by LDOE Teacher Effectiveness Levels | Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Student Growth Scores |  |  |  |
|  |  | Ineffective | Effective Emerging | Effective Proficient | Highly Effective |
|  |  | 2\% | 8\% | 30\% | 61\% |
| Demonstrated Teaching Skill <br> (Please examine the 2016 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these | Mean Compass Professional Practice Score (2012-13, 2013-14, \& 2014-15) and Number of Scores for All New Teachers with Less than Two Years of Teaching | Compass Professional Practice Mean \& Number of Scores |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3.2 ( $\mathrm{n}=292$ ) |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage and Number of 201213, 2013-14, \& 2014-15 Compass Professional Practice Scores for the New Teachers by LDOE Teacher Effectiveness Levels | Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Professional Practice Scores |  |  |  |
|  |  | Ineffective | Effective Emerging | Effective Proficient | Highly Effective |
|  |  | $\leq 1 \%$ | 12\% | 59\% | 29\% |
| Overall Impact and Demonstrated Teaching Skill <br> (Please examine the 2016 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these scores.) | Mean Compass Final Evaluation Score (2012-13, 2013-14, \& 201415) and Number of Scores for New Teachers with Less than Two Years of Teaching | Compass Final Evaluation Mean \& Number of Scores |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3.3 ( $\mathrm{n}=292$ ) |  |  |  |
|  | Percentage and Number of 2012- <br> 13, 2013-14, \& 2014-15 Compass <br> Final Evaluation Scores for the New <br> Teachers by LDOE Teacher <br> Effectiveness Levels | Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Final Evaluation Scores |  |  |  |
|  |  | Ineffective | Effective Emerging | Effective Proficient | Highly Effective |
|  |  | 2\% | 8\% | 54\% | 37\% |
| State Value Added Scores for Growth in Student Learning for New Teachers in Grades 4-8 with Less than Two Years of Teaching by Content Areas (Twenty-five or More New Teachers) <br> (Please examine the 2016 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these scores.) | Content Areas | Mean, Number of Scores, \& Effectiveness Levels for Value-Added Scores of Twenty-five or More New Teachers with Less Than Two Years of Teaching who Taught During 2014-15 (3- to 5-Year Averages) |  |  |  |
|  | Mathematics <br> (Note: A Mean score could not be determined this year to calculate 3- to 5year averages due to differences in cut-off scores for new assessments. Percentages of individual scores within effectiveness levels could be determined.) | $N / A(n=47)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | Ineffective | Effective Emerging | Effective Proficient | Highly Effective |
|  |  | 23\% | 38\% | 32\% | 6\% |
|  | Science | -2.5 ( $\mathrm{n}=35$ ) |  |  |  |
|  |  | Ineffective | Effective Emerging | Effective Proficient | Highly Effective |
|  |  | 23\% | 40\% | 17\% | 20\% |
|  | Social Studies | -2.1 ( $\mathrm{n}=31$ ) |  |  |  |
|  |  | Ineffective | Effective Emerging | Effective Proficient | Highly Effective |
|  |  | 10\% | 55\% | 13\% | 23\% |
|  | English/Language Arts/Reading <br> (Note: A Mean score could not be determined this year to calculate 3 - to 5 year averages due to differences in cut-off scores for new assessments. Percentages of individual scores within effectiveness levels could be determined.) | $N / A(n=54)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | Ineffective | Effective Emerging | Effective Proficient | Highly Effective |
|  |  | 11\% | 63\% | 13\% | 13\% |

