		2016 LOUI	SIANA T	EACHER PRE	PARA	TION	DATA D	DAS	HBOARD)			
			South	ern Universi	ty at	New C	Orleans						
Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & Southern University System													
				raduate Tea									
				ASIC PROGRAM		-							
Program We	b Site	http://www.s											
Approval/Ac			Status										
		State: Board of Elementary and Secondary Education (BESE)											
		State: Board	Approved										
		Regional: So (SACSCOC)	Accredited										
		National: National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE); Teacher									Accredited		
		Education Accreditation Council (TEAC); or Council for the Accreditation of Educator											
T		Preparation (CAEP) Traditional (Undergraduate)											
Type of Prog	ram	Traditional (C	_										
		CANDIDATE SELECTION PROFILE											
Academic Strength		Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Skills Assessment (2013-14)									100%		
		Median GPA of Candidates Entering the Program (2013-14)									3.08		
		Median GPA of Candidates Completing the Program (2013-14)									3.16 Data Not Yet		
		Number of Candidates who Started but Did not Complete the Program Within 6 Years									Available		
Teaching Pro													
Candidates/			Enrolled			Completers			s		Total		
Completer		(2013-14)		17		11				28			
Diversity		Enrolled		Males					Females 14				
		Gender		3									
		Enrolled	Hispanic			ian	Black	:	Islander		Multi-Racial		
		Race	0	0		0	17		0	0	0		
		KNO	NLEDGE /	AND SKILLS FOR	R TEAC	HING C	OF COMP	LETE	RS				
Knowledge	Content	Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Content Assessments (2013-14)									100%		
	Pedagogical	Completer Pa	Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Professional Knowledge Assessments (2013-14)										
	Overall	Completer Pa	issage Rat	te on all Assessi	ments	(2013-2	14)				100%		
Clinical Experiences		Student	-	ock Hours of Clinical Experiences Prior to							180		
		Teaching		clock Hours of Clinical Experiences During Student Teaching						Number of Clock Hours per Week	Total Number of Clock Hours		
								1	.0	40	400		
Licensure Requirements		Number and Requirement	100%										
Completer R	ating	Data Not Yet Available											
	P	ROGRAM PRO	DUCTIVIT	Y AND ALIGNM	IENT 1	O STAT		OF (ERS			
Entry and Pe													
Teaching in Public Schools in Louisiana		Percentage &	73% (n=8) Data Not Yet Available										
		2009-1	& 2014-15										
(Please examine the 2016 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these scores.)		Number o		Number &	umber &		Numb		Number &	Number &			
		2009-10 Completers		Percentage Teaching in		ercentage eaching ir		Percen Teachi	-	Percentage Teaching in	Percentage Teaching in		
				2010-11		2011-12		2012	-	2013-14	2014-15		
		100% (n=	3)	100% (n=3) 67		% (n=2) 67% (n=2)		n=2)	100% (n=3)	100% (n=3)			
High-Need	Persistence in	Data Not Yet	available		<u> </u>				I				
Subjects/Sch	ools												

Assistance in the design of the Louisiana Teacher Preparation Dashboard was provided by the 2020 Key Effectiveness Indicators developed by Michael Allen, Edward Crowe, and Charles Coble, co-partners of Teacher Preparation Analytics.

201	6 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPAR Southern Univers			CONT'D)					
Pre	pared by Louisiana Board of Re			y System					
	Public Undergraduate Teo				-1				
PERFORMANC Impact on	CE AS CLASSROOM TEACHERS (NEW TE Mean Compass Student Growth	ACHERS WITH LESS THAN TWO YEARS OF TEACHING) Compass Student Growth Mean & Number of Scores							
K-12 Students (Please examine the 2016	Score (2012-13, 2013-14, & 2014- 15) and Number of Scores for All New Teachers with Less than Two	3.0 (n=41)							
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Years of Teaching								
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2012-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Student Growth Scores							
scores.)	13, 2013-14, & 2014-15 Compass Student Growth Scores for the New	Ineffective 5%	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient 37%	Highly Effective				
	Teachers by LDOE Teacher Effectiveness Levels								
Demonstrated Teaching	Mean Compass Professional	Compass Professional Practice Mean & Number of Scores							
Skill (Please examine the 2016	Practice Score (2012-13, 2013-14, & 2014-15) and Number of Scores for All New Teachers with Less than	2.9 (n=41)							
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Two Years of Teaching								
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2012-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Professional Practice Scores							
scores.)	13, 2013-14, & 2014-15 Compass	Ineffective 2%	Effective Emerging 12%	Effective Proficient 68%	Highly Effective 17%				
	Professional Practice Scores for the New Teachers by LDOE Teacher Effectiveness Levels	270	12/0	00/0	1770				
Overall Impact and	Mean Compass Final Evaluation	Compass Final Evaluation Mean & Number of Scores							
Demonstrated Teaching Skill	<i>Score</i> (2012-13, 2013-14, & 2014- 15) and Number of Scores for New	2.9 (n=41)							
(Please examine the 2016	Teachers with Less than Two Years of Teaching								
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Percentage and Number of 2012-		s Teacher Effectiveness						
interpret the meaning of these	13, 2013-14, & 2014-15 <i>Compass</i>	Ineffective 5%	Effective Emerging 17%	Effective Proficient 61%	Highly Effective 17%				
scores.)	<i>Final Evaluation Scores</i> for the New Teachers by LDOE Teacher	570	1770	01/0	1770				
	Effectiveness Levels								
State Value Added Scores for Growth in Student	te Value Added Scores Content Areas		Mean, Number of Scores, & Effectiveness Levels for Value-Added Scores of Twenty-five or More New Teachers with Less Than Two Years of Teaching who Taught During 2014-15 (3- to 5-Year Averages)						
Learning for New	Mathematics	Taugit During 2014-13 (5- 10 5-16al Averages)							
Teachers in Grades 4-8 with Less than Two Years	(Note: A Mean score could not be determined this year to calculate 3- to 5-	N/A (n=N/A)							
of Teaching by Content Areas (Twenty-five or	year averages due to differences in cut-off scores for new assessments. Percentages of	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
More New Teachers)	individual scores within effectiveness levels could be determined.)	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%				
(Please examine the 2016 Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Science	N/A (n=N/A)							
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
scores.)		N/A%	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%				
	Social Studies		N/A (n=N/A)						
		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
		N/A%	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%				
	English/Language Arts/Reading (Note: A Mean score could not be	N/A (n=N/A)							
	determined this year to calculate 3- to 5- year averages due to differences in cut-off	Ineffective	Highly Effective						
	scores for new assessments. Percentages of		Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient					
	individual scores within effectiveness levels could be determined.)	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%				