## 2020 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD

## **Nicholls State University**

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System

Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program

				ASIC PROGRAM	INFOR	MATION						
Program We	Program Website http://www.nicholls.edu/education/											
Approval/Accreditation			Status									
		State: Board	Approved									
		State: Board	Approved									
		Regional: So	Accredited									
		(SACSCOC)										
		National: National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE); Teacher								Accredited		
		Education Accreditation Council (TEAC); or Council for the Accreditation of Educator										
Preparation (CAEP)												
Type of Prog	ram	Traditional (Undergraduate)										
CANDIDATE SELECTION PROFILE												
Academic Strength		Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Skills Assessment (2017-18)								100%		
		Median GPA	3.0									
		Median GPA of Candidates Completing the Program (2017-18)								3.4		
Candidates/		Candidates		Enrolled	Enrolled		Completers			Total		
Completer		(2017-18)		210		90	90		300			
Diversity		Enrolled	Males Females									
		Gender	26					184				
		Enrolled	Hispanic	Indian	Asia		Black	Islander	White	Multi-Racial		
		Race	6	3	1		12	0	179	7		
		KNO	NLEDGE A	ND SKILLS FOR	TEACH	IING OF C	OMPLETE	RS				
Knowledge	Content	Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Content Assessments (2017-18)										
	Pedagogical Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Professional Knowledge Assessments (2017-18)						.7-18)	100%				
	Overall	Completer Passage Rate on all Assessments (2017-18)										
Clinical Experiences		New Option: Institution is Transitioning to One Year Residencies								Yes		
		Phase Out Option: Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences Prior to Student Teaching Student Teaching Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences During Student Teaching								180		
		Student Teac	270									
Licensure Requirements		Percentage o	100%									
	Р	ROGRAM PRO	DUCTIVIT	Y AND ALIGNM	ENT TO	STATE N	EEDS OF	COMPLETER	S	_		
Entry and Persistence in		Percentage &	79% (n=71)									
Teaching in Public		2013-14	& 2018-19									
Schools in Louisiana		Number of		Number &		mber &	Numb		Number &	Number &		
		2013-14 Completers		Percentage Teaching in	_		entage Percentage ching in Teaching in		Percentage Teaching in	Percentage Teaching in		
(Please examine the 2020 Louisiana Teacher Preparation		Complete		2014-15		015-16	2016	_	2017-18	2018-19		
Data Fact Book to accurately		100%		79%		76% 76%		%	77%	75%		
interpret the meaning of these		(n=105	)	(n=83)	1)	า=80)	(n=8	30)	(n=81)	(n=79)		
scores.)												

Assistance in the design of the Louisiana Teacher Preparation Dashboard was provided by the 2020 Key Effectiveness Indicators developed by Michael Allen, Edward Crowe, and Charles Coble, co-partners of Teacher Preparation Analytics.

## 2020 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD (CONT'D) Nicholls State University

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System

Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program

PERFORMAN	CE AS CLASSROOM TEACHERS (NEW TE	ACHERS WITH	LESS THAN TWO Y	EARS OF TEACHING	G)			
Impact on	Mean Compass Student Outcome	Compass Student Outcome Mean & Number of Scores						
K-12 Students (Please examine the 2020	Score (2016-17, 2017-18, & 2018- 19) and Number of Scores for All	2.9 (n=295)						
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	New Teachers with Less than Two Years of Teaching							
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2016-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Student Outcome Scores						
scores.)	17, 2017-18, & 2018-19 Compass Student Outcome Scores for the	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher Effectiveness Levels	7% (n=20)	18% (n=52)	36% (n=105)	40% (n=118)			
Demonstrated Teaching	Mean Compass Professional	Compass Professional Practice Mean & Number of Scores						
Skill  (Please examine the 2020 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Practice Score (2016-17, 2017-18 & 2018-19) and Number of Scores for All New Teachers with Less than Two Years of Teaching	3.0 (n=295)						
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2016-	Compass T	eacher Effectiveness Le	vels for Professional Pr	actice Scores			
scores.)	17, 2017-18, & 2018-19 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
	Professional Practice Scores for the New Teachers by LDOE Teacher Effectiveness Levels	0% (n=≤10)	14% (n=40)	73% (n=217)	13% (n=38)			
Overall Impact and	Mean Compass Final Evaluation	Compass Final Evaluation Mean & Number of Scores						
Demonstrated Teaching Skill (Please examine the 2020	Score (2016-17, 2017-18, & 2018- 19) and Number of Scores for New Teachers with Less than Two Years of Teaching	3.0 (n=295)						
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Percentage and Number of 2016-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Final Evaluation Scores						
Data Fact Book to accurately	17, 2017-18, & 2018-19 Compass	Ineffective Effective Emerging Effective Proficient Highly Effective						
interpret the meaning of these scores.)	Final Evaluation Scores for the New	0%	20%	62%	18%			
scores./	Teachers by LDOE Teacher Effectiveness Levels	(n=≤10)	(n=59)	(n=182)	(n=53)			
State Value-Added Scores for New Teachers	Content Areas	Mean, Number of Scores, & Effectiveness Levels for Value-Added Scores of Ten or More New Teachers with Less Than Two Years of Teaching who Taught During 2016-17, 2017-18, and 2018-19						
in Grades 4-8 with Less	Mathematics	-0.4 (n=20)						
than Two Years of		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
Teaching by Content		15%	45%	25%	15%			
Areas (Ten or More New		(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)			
Teachers)	Science	N/A (n=N/A)						
(Diama augusta the 2020	(NO DATA – STATE	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
(Please examine the 2020 Louisiana Teacher Preparation	TRANSITIONING TO NEW TEST)	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%			
Data Fact Book to accurately		(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)	(n=N/A)			
interpret the meaning of these scores.)	Social Studies	1.0 (n=25)						
		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
		12%	36%	32%	20%			
	English / Language Arts / Dooding	(n=≤10) (n=≤10) (n=≤10) (n=≤10) -0.5 (n=25)						
	English/Language Arts/Reading	Ineffective	-U.5	(N=25) Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
		16%	40%	28%	16%			
		(n=≤10)	(n=10)	(n=≤10)	(n=≤10)			