2020 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD

Northwestern State University

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System

Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program

			B/	ASIC PROGRAM	INFORM	MATION						
Program We	bsite	http://educat	tion.nsula	.edu/								
Approval/Accreditation		Names of Agencies										
		State: Board	Approved									
		State: Board	Approved									
		Regional: Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges								Accredited		
		(SACSCOC)										
		National: National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE); Teacher								Accredited		
		Education Accreditation Council (TEAC); or Council for the Accreditation of Educator										
		Preparation (CAEP)										
Type of Prog	ram	Traditional (Undergraduate)										
	CANDIDATE SELECTION PROFILE											
Academic Strength		Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Skills Assessment (2017-18)								100%		
		Median GPA of Candidates Entering the Program (2017-18)								3.6		
		Median GPA of Candidates Completing the Program (2017-18)							3.6			
Candidates/		Candidates	Enrolled			Completers			Total			
Completer		(2017-18)		191		42	42		233			
Diversity		Enrolled	Males Females									
		Gender	52 139									
		Enrolled	Hispanic	Indian	Asiar	1	Black	Islander	White	Multi-Racial		
		Race	23	3	1		22	1	135	6		
		KNO	NLEDGE A	ND SKILLS FOR	TEACH	NG OF CO	OMPLETE	RS				
Knowledge	Content	Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Content Assessments (2017-18)								100%		
	Pedagogical	Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Professional Knowledge Assessments (2017-18)										
Overall		Completer Passage Rate on all Assessments (2017-18)										
Clinical Experiences		New Option: Institution is Transitioning to One Year Residencies								Yes		
		Phase Out Option: Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences Prior to Student Teaching								260		
		Student Teaching Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences During Student Teaching								560		
Licensure Requirements		Percentage of 2017-18 Completers That Meet State Licensing Requirements								100%		
	Р	ROGRAM PRO	DUCTIVIT	Y AND ALIGNM	ENT TO	STATE N	EEDS OF	COMPLETER	S			
Entry and Persistence in		Percentage &	55% (n=23)									
Teaching in Public		2013-14	& 2018-19									
Schools in Lo	ouisiana	Number o		Number &		nber &	Numb		lumber &	Number &		
		2013-14 Complete		Percentage Teaching in		entage hing in	Percer Teachi	_	ercentage eaching in	Percentage Teaching in		
*	(Please examine the 2020			2014-15		15-16	2016	_	2017-18	2018-19		
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately		100%		66%	6	6%	63	%	66%	61%		
interpret the meaning of these		(n=41)		(n=27)	(n:	=27)	(n=2	26)	(n=27)	(n=25)		
scores.)		I			1		l					

Assistance in the design of the Louisiana Teacher Preparation Dashboard was provided by the 2020 Key Effectiveness Indicators developed by Michael Allen, Edward Crowe, and Charles Coble, co-partners of Teacher Preparation Analytics.

2020 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD (CONT'D)

Northwestern State University

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & University of Louisiana System

Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program

I LIN ONWAN	CE AS CLASSROOM TEACHERS (NEW TE	ACHERS WITH	LESS THAN TWO Y	EARS OF TEACHING	3)			
Impact on	Mean Compass Student Outcome			e Mean & Number of So	-			
K-12 Students	Score (2016-17, 2017-18, & 2018-	3.0 (n=173)						
	19) and Number of Scores for All							
(Please examine the 2020	New Teachers with Less than Two							
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Years of Teaching							
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2016-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Student Outcome Scores						
scores.)	17, 2017-18, & 2018-19 Compass Student Outcome Scores for the	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher	4%	18%	39%	40%			
	Effectiveness Levels	(n=≤10)	(n=31)	(n=67)	(n=69)			
Demonstrated Teaching	Mean Compass Professional	Compass Professional Practice Mean & Number of Scores						
Skill	Practice Score (2016-17, 2017-18 &	3.1 (n=173)						
	2018-19) and Number of Scores for							
(Please examine the 2020	All New Teachers with Less than							
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Two Years of Teaching							
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2016-	Compass T	eacher Effectiveness Le	vels for Professional Pr	actice Scores			
scores.)	17, 2017-18, & 2018-19 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
	Professional Practice Scores for the	1%	11%	61%	27%			
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher	(n=≤10)	(n=19)	(n=105)	(n=47)			
	Effectiveness Levels							
Overall Impact and	Mean Compass Final Evaluation	Compass Final Evaluation Mean & Number of Scores						
Demonstrated Teaching	Score (2016-17, 2017-18, & 2018-							
Skill	19) and Number of Scores for New		3.1 (ı	n=173)				
	Teachers with Less than Two Years							
(Please examine the 2020 Louisiana Teacher Preparation	of Teaching							
Data Fact Book to accurately	Percentage and Number of 2016-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Final Evaluation Scores Ineffective Effective Emerging Effective Proficient Highly Effective						
interpret the meaning of these	17, 2017-18, & 2018-19 Compass	1%	Effective Emerging 14%	Effective Proficient 56%	Highly Effective 30%			
scores.)	Final Evaluation Scores for the New	(n=≤10)	(n=24)	(n=96)	(n=51)			
	Teachers by LDOE Teacher	(11-210)	(11-2-7)	(11-30)				
	Effectiveness Levels				(= -)			
		Maan Number	of Course & Effectives	ass Lavels for Value Ad	, ,			
State Value-Added	Content Areas	7	•	ess Levels for Value-Ade	ded Scores of Ten			
Scores for New Teachers	Content Areas	7	achers with Less Than 1	ess Levels for Value-Ad Fwo Years of Teaching v -18, and 2018-19	ded Scores of Ten			
Scores for New Teachers in Grades 4-8 with Less	Content Areas Mathematics	7	achers with Less Than 7 2016-17, 2017	Two Years of Teaching v	ded Scores of Ten who Taught During			
Scores for New Teachers in Grades 4-8 with Less than Two Years of		or More New Te	achers with Less Than 7 2016-17, 2017	Two Years of Teaching v -18, and 2018-19	ded Scores of Ten			
Scores for New Teachers in Grades 4-8 with Less than Two Years of Teaching by Content		or More New Te	achers with Less Than 1 2016-17, 2017 N/A (Effective Emerging N/A%	Two Years of Teaching v -18, and 2018-19 n=≤10) Effective Proficient N/A%	ded Scores of Ten who Taught During Highly Effective N/A%			
Scores for New Teachers in Grades 4-8 with Less than Two Years of Teaching by Content Areas (Ten or More New	Mathematics	or More New Te	2016-17, 2017 N/A (Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A)	Two Years of Teaching v -18, and 2018-19 n=≤10) Effective Proficient N/A% (n=N/A)	ded Scores of Ten who Taught During Highly Effective			
Scores for New Teachers in Grades 4-8 with Less than Two Years of Teaching by Content	Mathematics Science	Ineffective N/A% (n=N/A)	achers with Less Than 1 2016-17, 2017 N/A (Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A) N/A (Two Years of Teaching v -18, and 2018-19 n=≤10) Effective Proficient N/A% (n=N/A) n=N/A)	Highly Effective N/A% (n=N/A)			
Scores for New Teachers in Grades 4-8 with Less than Two Years of Teaching by Content Areas (Ten or More New	Mathematics Science (NO DATA – STATE	Ineffective N/A% (n=N/A) Ineffective	achers with Less Than 1 2016-17, 2017 N/A (Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A) N/A (Effective Emerging	Two Years of Teaching v -18, and 2018-19 n=≤10) Effective Proficient N/A% (n=N/A) n=N/A) Effective Proficient	ded Scores of Ten who Taught During Highly Effective N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective			
Scores for New Teachers in Grades 4-8 with Less than Two Years of Teaching by Content Areas (Ten or More New Teachers) (Please examine the 2020 Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Mathematics Science	Ineffective N/A% (n=N/A) Ineffective N/A%	N/A (Effective Emerging N/A (n=N/A) Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A) N/A (Effective Emerging N/A%	Two Years of Teaching vo. 18, and 2018-19 n=≤10) Effective Proficient N/A% (n=N/A) n=N/A) Effective Proficient N/A%	Highly Effective N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective N/A%			
Scores for New Teachers in Grades 4-8 with Less than Two Years of Teaching by Content Areas (Ten or More New Teachers) (Please examine the 2020 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Mathematics Science (NO DATA – STATE TRANSITIONING TO NEW TEST)	Ineffective N/A% (n=N/A) Ineffective	achers with Less Than 2016-17, 2017 N/A (Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A) N/A (Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A)	rwo Years of Teaching v -18, and 2018-19 n=≤10) Effective Proficient N/A% (n=N/A) n=N/A) Effective Proficient N/A% (n=N/A)	ded Scores of Ten who Taught During Highly Effective N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective			
Scores for New Teachers in Grades 4-8 with Less than Two Years of Teaching by Content Areas (Ten or More New Teachers) (Please examine the 2020 Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Mathematics Science (NO DATA – STATE	Ineffective N/A% (n=N/A) Ineffective N/A%	achers with Less Than 2016-17, 2017 N/A (Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A) N/A (Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A)	Two Years of Teaching vo. 18, and 2018-19 n=≤10) Effective Proficient N/A% (n=N/A) n=N/A) Effective Proficient N/A%	Highly Effective N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective N/A%			
Scores for New Teachers in Grades 4-8 with Less than Two Years of Teaching by Content Areas (Ten or More New Teachers) (Please examine the 2020 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Mathematics Science (NO DATA – STATE TRANSITIONING TO NEW TEST)	Ineffective N/A% (n=N/A) Ineffective N/A% (n=N/A)	achers with Less Than 1 2016-17, 2017 N/A (Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A) N/A (Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A) N/A (Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A)	Two Years of Teaching v -18, and 2018-19 n=≤10) Effective Proficient N/A% (n=N/A) n=N/A) Effective Proficient N/A% (n=N/A) n=≤10) Effective Proficient	Highly Effective N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective			
Scores for New Teachers in Grades 4-8 with Less than Two Years of Teaching by Content Areas (Ten or More New Teachers) (Please examine the 2020 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Mathematics Science (NO DATA – STATE TRANSITIONING TO NEW TEST)	Ineffective N/A% (n=N/A) Ineffective N/A% (n=N/A) Ineffective N/A%	achers with Less Than 2016-17, 2017 N/A (Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A) N/A (Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A) N/A (Effective Emerging N/A%	Two Years of Teaching v -18, and 2018-19 n=≤10) Effective Proficient N/A% (n=N/A) n=N/A) Effective Proficient N/A% (n=N/A) n=≤10) Effective Proficient N/A%	Highly Effective N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective N/A%			
Scores for New Teachers in Grades 4-8 with Less than Two Years of Teaching by Content Areas (Ten or More New Teachers) (Please examine the 2020 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Science (NO DATA – STATE TRANSITIONING TO NEW TEST) Social Studies	Ineffective N/A% (n=N/A) Ineffective N/A% (n=N/A)	achers with Less Than 1 2016-17, 2017 N/A (Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A) N/A (Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A) N/A (Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A)	Two Years of Teaching v -18, and 2018-19 n=≤10) Effective Proficient N/A% (n=N/A) n=N/A) Effective Proficient N/A% (n=N/A) n=≤10) Effective Proficient N/A% (n=N/A) n=≤10)	Highly Effective N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective N/A% (n=N/A)			
Scores for New Teachers in Grades 4-8 with Less than Two Years of Teaching by Content Areas (Ten or More New Teachers) (Please examine the 2020 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Mathematics Science (NO DATA – STATE TRANSITIONING TO NEW TEST)	Ineffective N/A% (n=N/A) Ineffective N/A% (n=N/A) Ineffective N/A% (n=N/A)	achers with Less Than 1 2016-17, 2017 N/A (Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A) N/A (Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A) N/A (Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A) -1.5	Two Years of Teaching v -18, and 2018-19 n=≤10) Effective Proficient N/A% (n=N/A) n=N/A) Effective Proficient N/A% (n=N/A) n=≤10) Effective Proficient N/A% (n=N/A) (n=N/A) (n=N/A) (n=N/A)	Highly Effective N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective N/A% (n=N/A)			
Scores for New Teachers in Grades 4-8 with Less than Two Years of Teaching by Content Areas (Ten or More New Teachers) (Please examine the 2020 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Science (NO DATA – STATE TRANSITIONING TO NEW TEST) Social Studies	Ineffective N/A% (n=N/A) Ineffective N/A% (n=N/A) Ineffective N/A%	achers with Less Than 1 2016-17, 2017 N/A (Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A) N/A (Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A) N/A (Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A)	Two Years of Teaching v -18, and 2018-19 n=≤10) Effective Proficient N/A% (n=N/A) n=N/A) Effective Proficient N/A% (n=N/A) n=≤10) Effective Proficient N/A% (n=N/A) n=≤10)	Highly Effective N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective N/A%			
Scores for New Teachers in Grades 4-8 with Less than Two Years of Teaching by Content Areas (Ten or More New Teachers) (Please examine the 2020 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Science (NO DATA – STATE TRANSITIONING TO NEW TEST) Social Studies	Ineffective N/A% (n=N/A) Ineffective N/A% (n=N/A) Ineffective N/A% (n=N/A)	achers with Less Than 1 2016-17, 2017 N/A (Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A) N/A (Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A) N/A (Effective Emerging N/A% (n=N/A) -1.5	Two Years of Teaching v -18, and 2018-19 n=≤10) Effective Proficient N/A% (n=N/A) n=N/A) Effective Proficient N/A% (n=N/A) n=≤10) Effective Proficient N/A% (n=N/A) (n=N/A) (n=N/A) (n=N/A)	Highly Effective N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective N/A% (n=N/A) Highly Effective N/A% (n=N/A)			